A Pragmatic Introduction to Abstract Language Analysis with Flex and Bison under Windows DRAFT

Dr. Christophe Meudec October 9, 2001

Abstract

This introduction will allow you to make the most of two powerful tools for the analysis of abstract languages such as programming languages. The aim of this introduction is to get you up and running as quickly as possible with Flex and Bison, it is in no way a complete description of these tools.

After reading this introduction you should be able to understand, modify and create specifications files for the creation of reliable and efficient lexical analysers and parsers.

Keywords: flex, bison, programming language analysis, parsing, lexical analysis

1 Introduction

1.1 Objectives and Rationale

The primary aim of this introduction is to give the reader enough information to make the most of Flex and Bison in a relatively short period of time. The automatic analysis of data that is given in a prescribed text format requires the development of a lexical analyser and/or of a parser. While lexical analysers and parsers can of course be written by hand, it has long been known that most useful lexical analysers and parsers follow the same design and can be generated automatically given a description of their intended behaviour. Thus it is nearly always the case that the programmer does not have to write a dedicated analyser or parser but instead can avoid this tedious task using generating tools such as Flex and Bison. The result will most likely be more reliable and efficient than what could be obtained by hand. Writing a parser for a complex language is not a simple task and can take a long time, tools such as Flex and Bison simplify and speed up considerably this process.

1.2 History

Flex and bison are derivatives of Lex and Yacc respectively. Lex and Yacc were originally distributed (and still are) with the Unix operating system. Flex and Bison are rewrites of Lex and Yacc and are available with DOS and more recently with Windows32. They generate C programs that can be compiled to obtain executable lexical analysers and parsers.

Similars tools exist which generate Java and Ada code. They are not described here but all are based more or less on the Lex and Yacc originals. Do your own research about them!

1.3 Windows Ports

Welcome to the wonderful world of Windows and C. The Bison and Flex ports for Windows should work for most compilers (unless they don't!). Not all C compilers behave the same and there are differences between Visual C++, Bcc32 (C++ Borland version 5) and Borland C++ builder. The tools produce ANSI C code and many compilers need to be set to this (e.g. C++ Borland version 5 do compiler then Source set to ANSI at the GUI level)

It is to be said that things are much easier under Unix, as compatibilty issues are not so problematic.

1.3.1 Flex

Flex for example is supposed to be linked with the -lfl library, but this not always available. This library provides the yywrap function (See Flex's documentation) which is called on reaching the end of file. If all you want to do is to terminate on reaching the end of file then the option %option noyywrap should be added to the declaration part of the specification of Flex. The library also provides a default main function. If a stand alone analyser is required you must provide the main

Under C++ Builder the option %option never-interactive is also necessary but then the lexical analyser cannot be used interactively which can be useful for debugging purposes. C++ Builder also requires access to the include file unistd.h which is provided.

Other issues may arise.

1.3.2 Bison

For Bison the situation is usually more complex due to fact that most C/C++ compilers for Windows do not respect ANSI C definition. Thus each compiler is different and may require changing bison.simple. We provide two versions of bison.simple one for C++ builder called bison.builder (which needs to be renamed bison.simple if you are working with C++ builder) and the standard one called bison.simple which works fine with bcc32. More work would be required in the settings of Visual C++.

Of course, the above is only true with C++builder version 3 and BCC32 version 5.3!

2 Lexical Analysis with Flex

2.1 Aim

Flex is a lexical analyser generator which analyse text at the character level given a specification of the texts to be analysed. These specifications, listed in a text input file for Flex, describe regular expressions that must be recognised. With a specification file actions to be performed on the recognised entities can be added under the form of C programs. These actions will be incorporated verbatim in the C program generated by flex and will form, once compiled, a lexical analyser for the specification given. All non recognised characters by the lexical analyser are copied verbatim to an output file.

2.2 Calling

By tradition the specification file of Flex has a .1 suffix. If spec.1 is a specification of the text to analyse a call to

```
flex spec.l
```

will produce a C program, lex.yy.c, that must be compiled to obtain the lexical analyser e.g.

```
bcc32 -eanalyser main.c
produces analyser.exe if main.c is something like:
#include "lex.yy.c"
int main()
{
         yylex();
         return 0;
}
```

The analyser takes as input the text file to analyse (standard input) and outputs the result in a text file (standard output), it can be used in interactive mode from the keyboard (CTRL Z terminates the session).

2.3 Specification File Format

The general format is:

```
{definitions}
%%
```

```
{matching rules}
%%
{C functions added to modify the standard processing of Flex}
```

Only the first %% is compulsory. Thus, if the spec.1 file only contains this %% the analyser generated by Flex will recognise nothing and will copy its input straight to the output file.

The general format for a matching rule is:

```
pattern action
```

There must be at least a space or a tab between the pattern and the action. The action is a block of statements in C (delimited by { and }).

For example the following specification file once processed by Flex will generate a lexical analyser which will copy its input text to its output but with the words red, green and blue translated into French.

```
%%
red printf("rouge");
green printf("vert");
blue printf("bleu");
```

Note that the rules above are very simple and does process words including red, green and blue in them. Thus, redish will be rewritten as rougeish which is meaningless in French. The next section, explain how patterns for flex can be written.

2.4 Flex's Regular Expressions

Regular expressions are used to construct patterns which must correspond to the strings to be recognised in the text. The letters and digits in a pattern are always characters of the text, thus a pattern such as red can only correspond to to the string red in the text.

2.4.1 Special Characters

The following special characters are used as operators:

```
"\[]^-?.*+|()$/{}%<>
```

To introduce them in a pattern as characters in the text to be analysed, they must be preceded by the $\$ character or by delimiting them with double quotes as in "?". Thus, the pattern 0k" !..." corresponds to the string 0k !..., but it can also be written as 0k $\$!\.\.\, or even "0k !...".

The special characters that are not operators are space, the tab, and NL (newline). The notation \n is used for a newline, \t for a tab. The space must be represented as \u or \u . The full stop character . denotes all characters expect NL (newline). Finally all characters can be denoted in a pattern using its octal code in the following format: \n nn.

2.4.2 Operators

The following list explains the roles of the operators:

- [xyz] corresponds to only one character: here x or y or z. within square brackets the notation denotes a set of characters e.g. a-z denotes the set of characters between a and z according to the ASCII definition order.
- ? indicates that the preceeding characeter is optional: x? denotes x or nothing
- * indicates a repetition of the preceeding character: 0,1,..., n times
- + indicates a repetition of the preceding character: 1,2, ...,n times. For example, the identifiers in Pascal can be recognised by the pattern: [a-zA-Z][a-zA-Z0-9]* (i.e.they must always start with a letter by can contain digits)
- | indicates a choice : ab | cd denotes the string ab or cd
- () are parenthesis that are sometimes necessary in complex patterns. e.g. (ab|cd+)(ef)* is a pattern denoting the strings ab or a string cd (with possibly several optional ds) followed by 0 or several ef strings.
- placed at the beginning of a pattern indicates that the string must be at the beginning of a line.
- \$ placed at the end of a pattern indicates that the string must be at the end of a line.
- x/y denotes x followed by y but y is not part of the string.
- <y>x denotes x if the initial condition y (declared in the definition part of the specification, see below) is satisfied.
- {xx} xx is a macro defined in the definition part of the specification, and {xx} is the expansion of this macro.
- $x\{n, m\}$ denotes n up to m occurences of x.

The initial conditions denotes a context left of the regular expressions. The only pre-defined left context is the operator $\hat{}$. An initial condition allows one to express things like: recognise a string corresponding to the regular expression only if it is preceded by this context.

Each initial condition must be declared and named in the definition part of the specification in the following manner:

%START ci1 ci2 ...

where ci1, ci2 are the names of the initial conditions. When a rule applies if the initial conditions ci1, ci2 are satisfied, <ci1, ci2> must preced the rule (see above). When a rule satisfies an initial condition cij it is indicated by the action: BEGIN cij; The end of the current condition is indicated by the action: BEGIN 0; see at the end of this section for examples.

2.5 Actions in Flex

By default the lexical analyser generated by Flex recopies all the input characters that correspond to no pattern in the ouput file. If we do not want any output file all characters must be matched by a pattern. There are other predefined actions such as:

• the variable yytext contains the string wich correspond to the string matched; the elementary action printf("%s", yytext); is predefined by Flex and is denoted by ECHO. Thus the rule which outputs Pascal identifiers is

```
[a-zA-Z][a-zA-Z0-9]* ECHO;
```

• the variable yyleng contains the length of the string in yytext. If we want to write an analyser which counts the number of words in the input text, and the number of letters of the words the specification file would look like:

The second rule is used so as not to re-write characters not matched by the first.

• the function yymore() concatenates to yytext the following string which matches the same pattern. (instead of erasing yytext before putting the string). The function yyless(x), on the other hand, implies that not all the characters corresponding to the pattern must be placed in yytext: the characters greater than the index x will not be placed and will be reanalysed later. Thus to recognise Pascal strings (in which the quotes are doubled) we can write:

- the following three functions can be re-defined: input() returns the next character in the text; output(c) writes the character c on the standard output; unput(c) sends the character c back in the text to be read by the next input(). At the end of file, input() returns 0;
- the function yywrap() is called by Flex when the end of file is reached. It always returns the value 1. It can be re-programmed to print results or carry on processing on another file.
- the REJECT macro indicates that once the rule applied, the recognised string must be rejected if one of the following other rule also matches it.

2.6 Using the Rules

If several strings verify the rules given in the input file, Flex chooses in the following manner:

- the longuest string is considered
- if several rules apply of the same string, then it is the first rule that is applied

Thus if we write a lexical analyser, we would recognise the keywords first before recognising the identifiers; thus to recognise Pascal programs:

```
... /*all the keywords e.g. then */
then {/* actions to be performed on then */}
... /* other lexical entities, such as identifiers */
[a-zA-Z][a-zA-ZO-9]* {/*actions to be performed on an identifier */}
```

When the string then will be analysed, two rules are matching but the first will be used. If the string thenumber is to be analysed, the string then will not be recognised since of the two rules that could be applied the second takes the longuest string.

2.7 Definitions in the Specification File

The three parts of the specification file (definitions, rules, functions) are transformed by Flex into a C program. This transformation follows the following scheme:

1. all the lines of the specification file that are not rules and which starts with a space or a tab are written verbatim in the generated C program. Such lines in the definition part generate code with the extern attribute (e.g. a declaration of variables extern to all functions corresponding to the rules). the comments are passed without change to the generated program.

- 2. all the text including the delimiters %{ and %} is written in the program where they appear and in the format they appear. This allows to include text which must appear in the first column (e.g. #include or #define in C).
- 3. the entire third part is copied verbatim after what is generated by Flex.

Macro definitions in the first part start in the first column. Their format is name body. We can place here an encode table for characters whenever we want the output characters to be different than the input ones. The minimum code value must be 1, the last code must not be greater than what is recognisable by the computer of course. The format is the following:

```
%T
code characters
...
%T
```

The following table encodes the lower and upper case letters and give them code from 1 to 26:

```
%T
1 aA
2 bB
...
26 zZ
%T
```

2.8 Examples

1. the following specification file will once processed by Flex generate a lexical analyser which will take a Pascal program as input and verify the that the number of end is identical to the number of the keywords begin and case.

2. the following specification file takes as input a Pascal program and rewrites it with added comments between the name of every procedure and its parameters composed of several stars. The parameters are written on the next line. The procedures without parameters are never modified.

```
Р
        [pP] [rR] [o0] [cC] [eE] [dD] [uU] [rR] eE]
        /* macro to recognise the keyword procedure with */
        /* maybe mixed upper and lower case */
%START
                /*declares the initial condition proc */
%%
^" "*P
        ECHO; BEGIN proc /* keyword recognised at the */
        /* start of a line : the condition is satisfied */
< ( {printf(" {*******}\n");</pre>
          printf("
         }
        ECHO; BEGIN 0 /* release the initial condition */
\n
                        /* proc at the end of line */
```

3. simpler examples are provided in the following Bison section.

3 The Parser Generator: Bison

3.1 Aim

From an input grammar, Bison construct a C function which once compiled is a parser recognising the language described by the grammar. The programmer must provide a lexical analyser constructed with Flex or programmed manually. In the specification file of Bison we can add actions programmed in C which will be executed by the parser everytime the corresponding grammar rule is recognised. An entire compiler can be written using this scheme.

In general Bison can generate parsers to check the structure of the input file which must respect the grammar rules given to Bison.

To use Bison, therefore, one must write in a specification file, the format of the eventual input files that will be analysed, the actions to perform, a lexical analyser and the necessary declarations.

3.2 The Specification File

A specification file for Bison is composed of three parts separated by \%:

```
declarations /* first part */
%%
rules /* second part */
%%
functions /* third part */
```

3.2.1 The Declarations Part

The first part, which can be empty (but the %% must still be present), contains various kinds of declarations:

• the lexical entities received from the lexical analyser are declared by the directive **%token**. For example :

%token IDENT NUMBER

indicates to Bison that IDENT and NUMBER in the rules designate two lexical entities produced by the lexical analyser. These entities must of course be recognised during the lexical analsing phase. In the C program generated by Bison these identifiers are integer constants whose value characterise the entity. In the preceding example, the value of the entities is not specified: Bison will assign an actual value. By default Bison give values between 1 and 255 to entities composed of a single character and values from 257 to entities composed of several characters. If one wants a special numbering, it can be done with:

```
%token IDENT 300 /* 300 is the value of the constant */%token NUMBER 301
```

But it is then necessary to define these constants in the lexical analyser using #define. It is probably best to leave Bison to decide of the underlying numbering of the lexical analysis.

• we indicate to the parser which rule is the starting rule (axiom) by:

%start firstrule

If this declration is not present the first rule of the grammar will be considered as the starting rule.

• variables declarations necessary for the actions within the rules is given in C delimited by %{ and %} e.g.:

```
%{ int count = 0; %}
```

declares and initialises the **count** variable which is then global for the entire specification file and thus accessible within the actions.

• other declaration such as %type, %union, %left and %right will be discussed later.

Two rules must be respected:

- 1. the name of a lexical entity cannot be the same as a reserved C keyword
- 2. your C variables must not start by yy due to possible confusion with the variables generated by Bison.

3.2.2 The Rules Part

A rule has the following format:

```
name : [body] [{actions}] ;
```

The name represents a non-terminal in the grammar. The body is a sequence of lexical entities or rules' name separated by at least a space or a tab. The body can be empty. Whenever several rules have the same name (which is to be avoided for clarity) we can merge the bodies by separating them with the | symbol. Thus:

This latest notation is to be recommended as coding style due to its clarity. The actions associated with a rule, or with part of a rule, will be executed by the program generated by Bison whenever the rule has been recognised during parsing. The actions can perform complex processing such as referencing the variables declared in the first part of the specification file, call functions from the third part . . .

An action can return a value and can use the value returned by a preceeding action or the lexical analyser. The pseudo variable \$\$ is the value returned by an action, and the pseudo variables \$1, \$2 ... are the values returned by the components of the body of a rule. Thus:

```
exp : '('exp ')' { $$ = $2;} ;
```

is a rule that recognises an expression (the non-terminal exp) as being an expression in parenthesis. The associated action assigns the returned value (\$\$) of exp, the name of the rule, to be the value (\$2) returned from exp the component of the rule.

Similarly:

```
exp : NUMBER {$$ = $1;} ;
```

is a rule denoting the fact that an expression can be a number and that its value in that case is the value attached to the NUMBER entity by the lexical analyser (through yylval).

3.2.3 The Functions Part

This part contains a list of C functions used elsewhere in the actions or by the lexical analyser. One of these functions must be the lexical analyser itself and

must be called yylex(). yylex returns after each call an integer which is the number associated with the next lexical entity in the text under analysis and puts into yylval the value associated with this lexical entity.

If the lexical analyser is produced by flex, this third part contains a #include to include the file produced by Flex: lex.yy.c.

3.3 A Simple Example

A parser to recognise arithmetic expressions is described below. The four binary operators +, -, * and /, the parentheses and integers are all allowed. Given the traditional operators priority we can write in the Bison specification file the following (where NUMBER is a lexical entity):

```
%start
                 init
%token
                 NUMBER
%%
init
                 exp
exp
                 term
                 exp '+' term
                 exp '-' term
term
                 factor
                 term '*' factor
                 term '/' factor
factor
                 NUMBER
                 '(' exp ')'
%%
#include "lex.yy.c"
```

By tradition the specification file of Bison has a .y suffix. The grammar of the language to be recognised is preceded by declarations which indicate that the starting rule of the grammar (%start) is the non-terminal init and that the only lexical entity (%token directive) is called NUMBER. This lexical entity is recognised by the lexical analyser given in the last part of the Bison specification file, here it is the function generated by Flex (included by #include "lex.yy.c"). The processing by Bison of the specification file above (let's call it exp1.y) generates a C file called y.tab.c which can be compiled by:

```
bcc32 -A -eexp1 exp1_tab.c
```

which produces the executable exp1. The program thus created analyses an expression and returns 0 if the expression is allowed by the grammar, or the code 1 if the expression does not match. No actions have been introduced in the rules of the file exp1.y and therefore only the parsing is performed by exp1.

To recapitulate the sequence of steps to be performed:

1. writing of the lexical analyser specification file, say exp1.1 (See later) and generation of the lexical analyser C program by:

```
flex exp1.1
which generates the file lex.yy.c
```

2. writing of the parser generator specification file, say expl.y, and generation of the parser C program by:

```
bison exp1.y
which generates the file exp_tab.c
```

3. compilation of the parser and linking with the Flex and Bison libraries which gives the main program (C main) and calls the parser (yyparse function) by the command (the -A flag disables extensions, i.e. ensure ANSI compilation):

```
bcc32 -A -eexp1 main.c
```

4. execution of the parser to recognise expressions, if for example the text to be analysed is in the file express we can execute:

```
exp1 < express

main.c contains something like:

#include "exp1_tab.c"
main ()
   {return(yyparse());
   }

#include <stdio.h>
void yyerror(char *s)
   /* s is usually the message "Syntax Error" */
   { fprintf(stderr, "This is a personalised syntax error message");
}
```

3.4 Postfix Example

To transform an expression from infix notation to postfix we can add actions to our parser. The rules of the grammar remains of course unchanged:

```
%start
                 init
%token
                NUMBER
%%
init
                 exp
                term
exp
                 exp '+' term
                                          {printf("+");}
                                          {printf("-");}
                 exp '-' term
                factor
term
                term '*' factor
                                          {printf("*");}
                term '/' factor
                                          {printf("/");}
                                          {printf(" %d", $1);}
                NUMBER
factor
                 '(' exp ')'
%%
#include "lex.yy.c"
```

The parser thus generated writes an integer as soon as it is returned by the lexical analyser and writes an operator after recognising the rule that contains it thus after the operands are written: it outputs expressions in postfix format.

3.5 A Calculator

We can also add actions to our grammar to actually perform calculations.

```
%start
                  init
                  NUMBER
%token
%%
init
                  exp
                            {printf("The result is: %d\n", $1); }
                  term
exp
                  exp '+' term
                                              \{\$\$ = \$1 + \$3;\}
                                              \{\$\$ = \$1 - \$3;\}
                  exp '-' term
term
                  factor
                  term '*' factor
                                              \{\$\$ = \$1 * \$3;\}
                  term '/' factor
                                              \{\$\$ = \$1 / \$3;\}
                                              {\$\$ = \$1;}
factor
                  NUMBER
                  '(' exp ')'
                                              \{\$\$ = \$2;\}
%%
#include "lex.yy.c"
```

3.6 Operator Priority

The parser generated by Bison (yyparse) is a finite state automaton that uses a stack. It will be more efficient if the automation's table is small and that the actions are simple. Whithout going into too much details here about the automaton and its actions (shift, reduce, accept, end and error (which can be obtained in the y.output file by executing Bison with the -v option) we can give two recommendations to improve its efficiency:

- 1. Write a grammar with left recursive rules (as in the previous example) rather than right recursive.
- 2. Write a non-ambiguous grammar which does not generate conflicts (see later).

We can also simplify the grammar by indicating in the declaration part the associativity of the operators: left associativity with the <code>%left</code> directive and <code>%right</code> for right associativity. The directives should be ordered in such a way that the first is associated with the operators of lower priority and the last with high priority operators. Non associative operators are declared with the <code>%nonassoc</code> directive. We can rewrite the previous grammar where the rules <code>term</code> and <code>factor</code> were only present to express the priority of operators. The specification file becomes:

```
%start init
%token NUMBER
%left '+' '-'
                 /* lower priority */
%left '*' '/'
                /* higher priority */
%%
exp
                 exp '+' exp
                 exp '-' exp
                 exp '*' exp
                 exp '/' exp
                 '(' exp ')'
                NUMBER
%%
#include "lex.yy.c"
```

This grammar as well as the previous ones does not allow the use of the unary minus. Here we must use a variable in the priority list and where the unary minus appears in the grammar we must indicate its priority with the %prec directive. For example:

and in the rule we add the line:

```
'-' exp %prec unaryminus
```

In general it is clearer not to use the priority directives but instead use intermediary non-terminals such as term and factor.

3.7 The Lexical Analyser

The lexical analyser must follow the following rules:

- 1. it is a function called yylex() and that at each call by yyparse return a lexical entity number.
- 2. it assigns to yylval the value associated with the lexical entity.
- 3. at the end of file it return 0 or a negative value (thus at any other time yylex cannot return an entity with value 0).

These functionalities are satisfied by the lexical analyser produced by Flex. For the previous example the specification file of Flex could have been:

The NUMBER identifier here will be associated with the NUMBER token in the parser during linking.

We can also write an analyser by hand in the third part of the Bison specification file. For example:

```
%% /* third part of exp1.y */
#include <ctype.h>
int c = ' ';
             /* read ahead character */
yylex()
  { int charbefore;
            /* returned value */
    int n;
    while (c == ', ') c = getchar()
                                        /* spaces are jumped */
    swith(c)
    { case '0': case '1': case '2': case '3': case '4':
      case '5': case '6': case '7': case '8': case '9':
        n = 0;
                                        /* c is a digit */
        while (isdigit(c))
         {n = n * 10 + c - '0';}
          c = getchar();
        yylval = n;
```

```
return NUMBER;
case '\n':
    return -1;
default :
    {charbefore = c;
    c = getchar();
    return charbefore;
    }
}
```

3.8 Syntax Errors in the Text under Analysis

It is difficult to treat errors otherwise than by terminating the parsing process. Bison behaves in a particular way if we have dealt with error cases. The pseudo lexical entity error placed in the rules indicate to Bison that an error is expected and that in that case we have a way of dealing with it.

Whenever the parser finds an error, it empties its stack until finding a state in which the lexical entity error is recognised. Then it execute the associated action. After, the entity from which an error was found becomes the read ahead entity and the parsing process restarts normally. However, in order to avoid a series of new errors, the parser stays in that error state until it has read and analysed three new entities. If another occurs while it is in an error state, the parser eliminates the lexical entity without sending a new error message. To have good chances of carrying on processing after an error, we should add after the error entity the entity after which we would like to re-start the parsing. For example if after having analysed the rule:

```
sentence : error '.'
```

the parser will jump all entities until it finds a full stop. This works well in general except in an interactive environment. Suppose that we use Bison to check the data entered interactively: in that case the discovery of an error would mean the abandonment of the line entered and the rule would be:

```
enter : error '\n' {printf("error start again")}
```

With this way of proceeding the parser will ignore the inputs until the end of line, then start analysing the next three entities on the next line. If the user makes new mistakes in these entities the parser, which is still in error mode, will eliminate them without telling the user. To avoid this the action yyerrok makes the parser forget that it is in error mode: it will not be in error mode after jumping the erroneous line.

In another mechanism, the action yyclearin clears the current symbol after an error which follows the error entity. This can be necessary if the clearing of the erroneous entities is done by an action which handles itself the input file.

We illustrate below this error treatment with an interactive parser. The inputs are composed of a name followed by an integer on one line. In outputs

the data is re-arranged in the following manner: the integer, colon and the name. Thus the inputs looks like:

```
name1 integer1
name2 integer2
and the output looks like:
integer1:name1
integer2:name2
   The Bison specification file is:
%{#include <stdio.h>
  extern FILE* out_file;
%token NUMBER NAME ENDOFLINE;
%%
        : entry {printf("The End"\n");}
init
entry
        : line
        | entry line
line
        : NAME NUMBER ENDOFLINE
          {fprintf(out_file, "%4d:%s\n", $2, name);}
        | error ENDOFLINE
           {yyerrok;
            printf("error : start again ");}
          line
%%
#include "lex.yy.c"
```

Whenever a line is not correct, the parser displays an appropriate message and re-start processing the line entirely. The outputs are in the output file out_file declared and opened in the main program before the call to yyparse (not shown).

The variable name is declared and initialised in the lexical analyser by the string read, yylval. Here is the speicification for flex:

```
char name[20];
%%
[a-z]+ {strcpy(name, yytext); return(NAME);}
[0-9]+ {yylval = atoi(yytext); return(NUMBER);}
\n return(ENDOFLINE);
. :
```

3.9 Passing Data from the Lexical Analyser to the Parser

The values returned by the actions and the lexical entities (The \$is and the %tokens) are by default integers, but Bison can handle other types (floats, strings etc.) To do this we must change the specification file by:

• Declaring the set of types used. The elements of the Bison's stack must be an union of the types. This is done in the declaration part using the directive %union, for example:

```
%union {int aninteger;
    float afloat;
    other another;/* defined by a typedef beforehand */
}
```

• Adding the type of each lexical entity in the following format:

```
%token <aninteger> NUMBER
%token <afloat> FLOAT
```

• Declaring the type of each non-terminal in the following format:

• In the actions use the type of the value returned in the following format:

 if the type is a C structure, the name of the field must of course be included as in:

3.10 Conflicts

If you write your own grammar or modify an existing one then you are bound to get conflicts in your grammar which are detected by Bison. These must be resolved for your parser to be correct. Conflicts arise in the grammar because it is ambiguous: i.e. there are more than one way of parsing a legal sequence of entities. There are two kinds of conflicts:

- Shift/Reduce conflict
- Reduce/Reduce conflict

To resolve conflicts it is necessary to understand a little bit about the Bison parser algorithm. As already mentionned the automaton generated by Bison uses a stack to keep track of the entities that have been recognised but which do not yet as a whole form a rule. Traditionnally pushing a lexical entity on the stack is called shifting. Whenever the entities on the top of the stack matches a rule, the entities concerned are poped from the stack, this is traditionally called a reduction.

For example, if our infix calculator's parser stack contains: 1+5*3 and the next input token is a newline character, then the last three entities are reduced to 15 via the rule:

```
exp : term '*' factor
```

Then the stack contains just three elements: 1+15, at which point a further reduction is performed: the stack grows and shrinks as the text under analysis is being parsed.

When a lexical entity is read, it is not immediately shifted, first it becomes the look ahead token which is not on the stack.

3.10.1 Shift/Reduce Conflicts

The classic situation when this occurs is the conditional statement in programming languages:

With a rule like this, whenever the ELSE entity is read and becomes the look ahead entity, the automaton is in an ambigous mode because a reduction is possible (according to the first part of the rule) and a shift is also possible (according to the second part of the rule), hence we have a Shift/Reduce conflict. The default behaviour is to shift, however it is strongly recommended that this warning be removed by re-writting the rule in a non ambiguous manner as in:

Another classical situation is with dealing with lists of entities:

here after a single var the automation is in an ambiguous state. Here the rule should re-written to be left recursive:

Shift/Reduce conflicts may also occur if the priority of operators in expression is not self implied in the rules or if the precedence directives are not used.

3.10.2 Reduce/Reduce Conflicts

A Reduce/Reduce conflict occurs if there are two or more rule that apply to the same sequence of entities. For example in:

which is meant to express a sequence of type or subtype entities intertwinned. Here there are many ambiguities: even an empty input can be parsed in an infinity of ways (e.g. it can be types or two types in a row, or three etc. It could also be subtypes or a sequence of subtypes etc.).

A correct grammar is here:

Bison's default behaviour in case of a reduce/recude conflict is to reduce the rule that appear first in the grammar. Again however it is strongly recommended that all conflicts should be remove by rewriting the grammar.

3.11 Advices

By experience:

• eliminate all conflicts through re-writes of the grammar. Keeping conflicts in the generated parser is very dangerous indeed.

- try to find an existing grammar for the language you want to analyse (e.g. on the internet but see below), it will save you a lot of time and frustation.
- removing conflicts can be very difficult, however you should end-up learning about the language you are trying to define and about Bison
- running Bison with the -v option generates a huge file detailing the automaton which can be used to debug your grammar
- always keep a good copy of your grammar before modifying the rules as it might come in very handy if you have messed up the rules too much

4 Conclusions

4.1 On Parsing

The analysis of computer programs requires a prior parsing phase. While tool like Flex and Bison remove most of the tedious aspect of writing lexical analysers and parsers they do not simplify on their own the analysis of programs. Programming languages are complex languages and they inherently require a recursive approach to their analysis. While recursion is rarely encountered in other aspects of programming (at least using structured programming languages) they are the only way to recognise programming languages to perform the parsing of programs. Thus grammars are highly recursive and one must take extra care when adding actions to the rules. In particular the programming style should be elegant and simple, the use of flags to indicate previous states in the parsing is to be resisted as it may results in many flags being used and breaks the recursive logic of parsing. Sometimes if the analysis to be performed is complex the parser can contsruct an abstract syntax tree of the program under analysis and this data structure is then itself analysed. An abstract syntax tree allows the traversal of programs in a variety of ways, in particular it allows to go back an forth in the code. Compilers in general construct such abstract syntax trees. Performing the actions while parsing restricts you in effect to a single pass processing of the code.

Finnally, and whatever the temptation, one should never attempt to understand or modify the C programs generated by Flex and Bison. The code thus generated is very complex and not intended for human reading: it is automatically generated and messy with wanton use of gotos (gotos are still in the definition of programming languages to make the automatic generation of code easier but should not be for human consumption). The grammar (and to a lesser extent the Flex specification file) is where your efforts should be targeted. Everything can be done in the grammar and if the parser does not behave appropriatly it is the grammar file that needs to be reviewed.

4.2 On Getting Started

The calculator example is a good starting point. Once the compilation issues are resolved you should be able to make good progress.

4.3 Additional Resources

Resources on Lex and Yacc should be to a large extend also be applicable to Flex and Bison.

The primary technical references are the Flex user manual [?] and the Bison user manual [?] which are also available in html format. These manuals are indepths description of these tools and should be consulted in cases of problems or if something out of the ordinary is required. Flex and Bison are complex tools and have many options, we do not have the room in this introduction to describe them in details, instead the reader is referred to the user manuals. The user manuals describe to a large extend both tools in isolation to each other. The emphasis in this introdyuction is on showing how they can work together since this is the most useful way of using them.

Two specific books are also available: one by Bennet at McGraw Hill [?] and the other by ... at O'Reilly. The second looks more promising.

The internet is an obvious place for additional information about Flex and Bison. A note of warning however. Writing a grammar from scratch is difficult and time consuming. There are many input files (grammars) available on the internet for a variety of programming language but before making use of them you should ensure yourself that:

- the language described is the one you want (e.g. which version of C is it? Borland C, ANSI C etc.)
- that they do not generate warnings and conflicts during processing by fleax and or bison
- input files can be written in a variety of formats and can be more or less easy to understand.

Therefore one must very careful about using grammars from the Internet and they should certainly be checked prior to intensive use. They do however save you a lot of time for standard languages.

A few sites are listed below:

- http://www.uman.com/lexyacc.shtml a very good set of links for lex and yacc
- http://ei.cs.vt.edu/cs4304/ a course about compilers and translators

5 Acknowledgements

• Jean Louis Nebut of University of Rennes I, France, for his excellent introduction to Unix and its tools

 \bullet Martin Grogan from IT Carlow for giving me the C++ Builder port of ${\tt Bison}$